## Memorandum

**To:** Town Selectboard; Evan Teich, Unified Manager

From: Sarah Macy, Finance Director

**Re:** Specific Examples to Illustrate Separation v. Merger v. Status Quo

**Date:** March 26, 2021

Question: I don't understand what you mean by tax inequity?

**Answer:** Tax equity would be achieved when tax payers are charged the same tax rate for the same access to services, which is currently not happening in a number of budget segments. One example is Fire.

**Unified Manager's Notes:** Both departments are sized and have equipment based on serving roughly 10,000-11,000 people each and are complimentary in nature. The Village has engines that pump water from fire hydrants and the Town has tanker trucks (which bring water with them to the fire). Both the Town and Village departments are paid-on-call and do not have any full time employees or employees with benefits. Upon separation, they would still back each other up but the Town would provide no opportunity for savings other than deferral of equipment purchases.

| would provide no opportunity for savings of | tner | than deferral of equ | ııpn | nent purchases  |  |  |
|---|------|----------------------|------|-----------------|--|--|
| Current Structure:                          |      | Town Fire            | ,    | Village Fire    |  |  |
| Net tax impact of Operating Budget, FY22    | \$   | 377,470              | \$   | 349,181         |  |  |
| Sized to support:                           |      | TOV                  |      | Village         |  |  |
| Funded by:                                  |      | Town Grand List      | Vill | lage Grand List |  |  |
| Grand List Value:                           | \$   | 26,832,397           | \$   | 11,167,367      |  |  |
| Town Tax Rate                               |      | 0.0141               |      | 0.0141          |  |  |
| Village Tax Rate                            |      |                      |      | 0.0313          |  |  |
| Total Tax Rate Paid                         |      | 0.0141               |      | 0.0454          |  |  |
| Cost to \$280,000 home                      | \$   | 39.48                | \$   | 127.12          |  |  |
|   |      |                      |      |                 |  |  |
| Separated:                                  |      | Town Fire            | ,    | Village Fire    |  |  |
| Net tax impact of Operating Budget, FY22    | \$   | 377,470              | \$   | 349,181         |  |  |
| Sized to support:                           |      | TOV                  |      | Village         |  |  |
| Funded by:                                  |      | TOV Grand List       | Vill | lage Grand List |  |  |
| Grand List Value:                           | \$   | 15,665,029           | \$   | 11,167,367      |  |  |
| Tax Rate                                    |      | 0.0241               |      | 0.0313          |  |  |
| Cost to \$280,000 home                      | \$   | 67.48                | \$   | 87.64           |  |  |
| Current:                                    | \$   | 39.48                |      | 127.12          |  |  |
| \$ Change from Current:                     | \$   | 28.00                | \$   | (39.48)         |  |  |
| % Change from Current:                      |      | 71%                  |      | -31%            |  |  |
| Merger:                                     |      | Combined             |      |                 |  |  |
| Combined Operating Budget, FY22             | \$   | 726,651              |      |                 |  |  |
| Grand List Value:                           | \$   | 26,832,397           |      |                 |  |  |
| Tax Rate                                    | \$   | 0.0271               |      |                 |  |  |
| Cost to \$280,000 home                      | \$   | 75.88                |      |                 |  |  |
| Current:                                    | \$   | 39.48                |      | 127.12          |  |  |
| \$ Change from Current:                     | \$   | 36.40                | \$   | (51.24)         |  |  |
| % Change from Current:                      |      | 92%                  |      | -40%            |  |  |

**Example:** Supporting debt funded projects is less impactful as one community than as two. Imagine an infrastructure project costing \$1.5Million, bonded for 10 years at 4%. Annual payments are: \$182,241. What is the impact to the average tax payer of funding that project together or separately.

**Unified Manager's Notes:** Equity assumes all residents have equal access and benefit from each project and would pay the same tax rate. Equity in capital projects also means all projects are evaluated through the same process. Separated, only approximately half of the former Town (whether it be the Village or TOV) would pay the principal and interest on any debt bearing all the cost themselves.

| Annual Payment         | \$<br>182,241    | \$<br>182,241    | \$<br>182,241    |  |
|------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--|
| Who is paying?         | Town             | TOV              | Village          |  |
| Grand List Value:      | \$<br>26,832,397 | \$<br>15,665,029 | \$<br>11,167,367 |  |
| Tax Rate:              | 0.0068           | 0.0116           | 0.0163           |  |
| Cost to \$280,000 home | \$<br>19.04      | \$<br>32.48      | \$<br>45.64      |  |

**Capital:** Currently the Town levies a \$0.02 capital tax on the entire grand list. Should the two entities separate, the Town will lose 42% of its grand list and the corresponding tax revenue. What is the value of lost funding and what would it take to recover those funds.

**Unified Manager's Notes:** Under the current structure, the Village is paying more than the TOV for the same access to capital funds. Merged, the tax rates are equalized and so is the process to evaluate projects. If separated, the Town faces a deficit of almost \$380,000 as the Village grand list is removed from the tax base. The Town, without the Village, would have to decide how it wished to address its short and long term capital needs with a sizeable reduction of revenue. The Village already taxes itself for capital expenditures but would no longer be taxed the \$0.02 Town capital tax. The Village loses some funding for stormwater projects that have previously been paid for out of the \$0.02 Town capital tax.

|                                |     |              |    | Separated, raise same amount |    | Separated, same tax rate |  |  |
|--------------------------------|-----|--------------|----|------------------------------|----|--------------------------|--|--|
|                                |     | Current:     |    |                              |    |                          |  |  |
| Operating Transfers to Capital | \$  | 377,470      | \$ | 377,470                      |    |                          |  |  |
| Funds raised by Capital Tax    | \$  | 536,648      | \$ | 536,648                      |    |                          |  |  |
| Total Capital Raised           | \$  | 914,118      | \$ | 914,118                      |    |                          |  |  |
| Funded by:                     | Tow | n Grand List | TO | V Grand List                 | TO | V Grand List             |  |  |
| Grand List Value:              | \$  | 26,832,397   | \$ | 15,665,029                   | \$ | 15,665,029               |  |  |
| Effective tax rate:            |     | 0.0341       |    | 0.0584                       |    | 0.0341                   |  |  |
| Cost to \$280,000 home         | \$  | 95.48        | \$ | 163.52                       | \$ | 95.48                    |  |  |
| Total Capital Raised           |     |              |    |                              | \$ | 534,178                  |  |  |
| Shortage                       |     |              |    |                              | \$ | (379,940)                |  |  |